UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,529	03/19/2007	Choon Geun Cho	05-476-C	9262	
	990 08/05/2009 BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP		EXAMINER		
300 S. WACKE	S. WACKER DRIVE			JAMA, ISAAK R	
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/05/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/567,529	CHO, CHOON GI	EUN
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ISAAK R. JAMA	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on	·	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper reply,	to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was —), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tran	nsmission dated
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	<u></u> .
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 	•		
after the expiration of the period for reply.	. (g		_/,
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire into	erest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a representation)	entative capacity und	er 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeki	ng court review
7. The reason(s) below:			
Robert J. Irvine III, Applicant's counsel did not response	and to numerous telephone calls l	by the Examiner.	
/Lester Kincaid/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be pr	romptly filed to